

Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., referee comment RC1 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2022-30-RC1, 2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Comment on amt-2022-30

Anonymous Referee #1

Referee comment on "An Optimal Estimation Algorithm for the Retrieval of Fog and Low Cloud Thermodynamic and Micro-physical Properties" by Alistair Bell et al., Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2022-30-RC1, 2022

This paper describes an optimal-estimation based physical retrieval that uses ground-based cloud radar and microwave radiometer observations to get profiles of temperature, humidity, and cloud liquid water content in fog layers. It applies the algorithm first to synthetic data to provide a characterization of the algorithm by discussion how the different instruments change the sensitivity / accuracy / information content of the results. It then provides a detailed evaluation of a long case study, comparing the retrieved quantities against radiosonde and tethersonde profiles.

This is a very nice paper. It is well-written, flows logically, and contributes to our understanding of how accurately we can measure atmospheric properties in foggy conditions. I have relatively few concerns, and think the paper only needs minor revisions to address them.

Line 278: I thought it was interesting that you assumed a diagonal observation covariance matrix, especially since the Cimini et al. 2018 study showed important off-diagonal values in the MWR forward model (esp between 51, 52, and 53 GHz channels). Would adding off diagonal elements change the results much at all?



