Reply on RC1 (Technical Corrections)
Matthias Zeeman

Technical Corrections

Line 150: "or were more" should be changed to "or more were"

Response: Thank you for noting this, I agree with the suggested correction.

Line 177: The acronym TED is explained only in line 370. That explanation should be moved here.

Response: Thanks, I agree that the description for TED should be moved from the appendix to the methods.

Line 179: change "though-out“ with "through-out"

Response: Thank you for noting this, I agree with the suggestion.

Line 201: I suggest change the "it is assumable“ with "it is assumed"

Response: Thank you for noting this, I agree with the suggestion.

Line 242: "eight“ -> “eighth"

Response: I agree this should be corrected.

Line 244: “nine“ -> “ninth"

Response: I agree this should be corrected.

Line 332: "data are“ -> "data is“

Response: Thanks for the suggestion.

Line 425: There is one extra dot before "1"

Response: This should be corrected. Thanks for the comment!
Response: This should be corrected as suggested. Thanks for the comment!

Response: Thanks for the comment! I've made note to check the conventions for the names of Dutch authors as well as the page number formats in those references.