

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., author comment AC1
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-677-AC1>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on RC1

Dirk Offermann et al.

Author comment on "Very-long-period oscillations in the atmosphere (0–110 km) – Part 2: Latitude– longitude comparisons and trends" by Dirk Offermann et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-677-AC1>, 2022

DO 17.11.22

Response to comments of Referee 1 (RC1):

ACP- 2022-677 Very Long Period Oscillations in the Atmosphere (0-110 km), Part 2: Latitude/longitude comparisons and trends

D.Offermann, Ch.Kalicinsky, R.Koppmann, J.Wintel

We thank the Referee for his careful and detailed comments! We hope to have responded to them below to his satisfaction. Changes made are indicated in red in the text.

Comment #1: "Tables 1 and 2. Periods in these Tables differ to some extent. For 50°N: Hammonia 28.5 years corresponds to ECHAM6 periods 27.3 and 30.2. Hammonia period 22.8 corresponds to ECHAM6 period 22.1 and 23.8, ECHAM6 periods 20.9 and 20 do not have Hammonia counterparts. Have you some explanation for these differences? Make a comment on that in the paper. Would it be possible to get some shorter ECHAM6 periods for comparison with Hammonia?"

Response:

The periods cited for Hammonia and ECHAM6 agree within their (combined) error bars.

Missing periods (counterparts) "occur" at several places in Tab.1 –4. We believe that in these cases the amplitudes were too small to detect the periods. A corresponding comment had been given in Paragraph 2 of Section II and has again been added in "Section III (ECHAM6 model)", "1) Periods" (paragraph 1).

Shorter ECHAM6 periods were not shown in Part 1 (ACP 2021) and Part 2 (this paper) of the paper as the emphasis is on the long and very long periods.

Comment #2: "Table 3: Period 206.7 years should be moved from line 17 to line 18."

Response: Done as suggested, Thank you!

Comment #3: "Figures 2 and 4: Main peaks in 0-80 km, located near 70 km and 40 km, occur in both Figures. You can use it as another supporting evidence for your results."

Response: Thank you! A corresponding comment was added in "Section III, 2) Amplitudes" paragraph 2.

Comment #4: "Figure 7: Why the profiles in Fig. 7 differ so clearly from those in Fig. 4. They differ not only in the different positions of minimums at 30 versus 50 km but also by presence/absence of maximum near 70 km. Can you make a broader comment in the paper about this difference. Figure itself- I recommend remove "D" and "std" (in fact both are std).

Response: This is a complicated question, that is presently under analysis: The vertical profile of amplitudes or standard deviations as shown in Fig. 4 and 6 can be calculated for

all periods shown in Tables 1-4. At mid latitudes (50°N) they all show about the same profile form as in these Figures. Surprisingly this is not the case at the higher latitudes (75°N). Here the profiles can be very different. They depend as well on the oscillation period as on the geographic longitude (70°E vs 280°E). This leads to the smeared profile structure and the longitudinal differences in the averaged profiles seen in Fig. 7. The reason for these differences is presently unknown. It must have to do with- and should eventually lead to the understanding of- the excitation mechanism of the long-period oscillations. This is, however, beyond the scope of the present paper.

A corresponding comment has been added as the last paragraph of Section III.

"D and std" have been removed as suggested.

Comment #5: Summertime profiles are strongly damped compared to winter time profile due to direction of zonal wind. Does it mean/indicate that the observed oscillations propagate from below?

Response: The nature of our oscillations and any possible propagation of them are not understood as yet.

Comment #6: Why the profiles are so structured? Does it mean /indicate in-situ generation in individual layers?

Response: We do not believe that the vertical structures are due to generation in individual layers, because there are so strong vertical correlations of amplitudes and phases in the whole altitude regime in Fig.1. This is typical of all oscillation periods. It rather appears to indicate a global oscillation mode. In Part 1 of this paper (ACP 2021, Table 4) we have tentatively compared the structures to the zonal wind direction and vertical temperature gradient. Details are, however, complicated and presently under analysis (see #4 above).

Comment # 7: "Line 676: Could you specify typical time scales? This might be important for long-term trend determination."

Response: Time scales of CPT increases/decreases may be estimated from Fig.9 to be on the order of 30 years. This number has been added to Section V, last paragraph.

Comment #8: "References : Steiner et al.(2020) is missing in the list of references. Pisoft et al.- is it in press (list of references) or (2021) in the text ?

Response: Steiner et al. (2020) has been added to the list of references. Thank you!

Pisoft: Correct citation is: Pisoft et al., (2021). List of reference has been changed accordingly. Thank you!

Comment #9: "Wording and misprints: 301:"16" should be 160"!

Response: Misprint has been corrected. Thank you!