

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., community comment CC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-514-CC1>, 2022  
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on acp-2022-514

Graham Mann

---

Community comment on "Interactive stratospheric aerosol models' response to different amounts and altitudes of SO<sub>2</sub> injection during the 1991 Pinatubo eruption" by Iliaria Quaglia et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-514-CC1>, 2022

---

I am making this reviewer comment as one of the co-authors of the Quaglia et al. manuscript, in relation to the interesting question the reviewer raises about the altitude of the Cerro Hudson aerosol cloud, and re: the challenge the Pinatubo case provides in relation to testing interactive stratospheric aerosol models ability to capture the observed transport of the Pinatubo aerosol to the Southern Hemisphere.

The reviewer has noted the differences in injection height given in two different volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emission inventories – with 4Tg SO<sub>2</sub> at 12-18km altitude in the MSVOLSO2L4 inventory and 1.5Tg SO<sub>2</sub> between 11 and 16km in the Neely and Schmidt inventory.

The purpose of this comment is to point out the analysis by Pitts and Thomason (GRL, 1993), which demonstrates that the SAGE-II measurements show conclusively that the Cerro Hudson volcanic aerosol cloud, in the months after it emerged in September 1991, remained at altitudes below 15km, centred at ~12-13km or so.

By contrast, the Pinatubo aerosol cloud was at much higher altitude, at ~19-24km or so.

I agree with the reviewer that the case could present an interesting test for the models, and, in relation to the emission altitudes cited from the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions inventories of course being best estimates for the SO<sub>2</sub> altitude soon after the eruption, the aerosol cloud forming mostly after oxidation to sulphate aerosol, of course then potentially progressing to differing altitudes.

The main point for this comment however is to note the difference in altitude between the Pinatubo and Cerro Hudson aerosol clouds.

Whilst for climate model integrations the mid-visible strat-AOD may be the most important metric for the solar dimming from the volcanic aerosol in these months, simulating the altitude of the aerosol is important not only re: impacts on stratospheric chemistry, but also considering there can be differences in radiative transfer re: the altitude of the volcanic aerosol in relation to the stratospheric ozone layer and other radiatively active species.

References:

Pitts, M. C. and Thomason, L. W. (1993)

The impact of the eruptions of Mount Pinatubo and Cerro Hudson on Antarctic aerosol levels during the 1991 austral spring,  
Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 20, no. 22, pp. 2,451-2,454.  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL02160>