Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., author comment AC1
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-510-AC1, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

ACs on RC 1 and RC2

Saqr Munassar et al.

Author comment on "Why do inverse models disagree? A case study with two European CO_2 inversions" by Saqr Munassar et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-510-AC1, 2022

Dear Handling editor Susannah Burrows,

Dear Anonymous Referees,

first, we would like to thank you very much for your constructive commnets on our manuscript acp-2022-510. In the attachement, you will find our response to your comments. We have addressed the comments in a chronological order starting with Anonymous RC1 and Anonymous RC2.

We, indeed, thank you and appreciate your time you take to revise our manuscript.

Best regards,

Saqr Munassar, on behalf of the authors

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
https://acp.copernicus.org/preprints/acp-2022-510/acp-2022-510-AC1-supplement.pdf