

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., author comment AC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-388-AC1>, 2022  
 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
 the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Reply on RC1

Yuan Yuan Chen et al.

---

Author comment on "Fluxes, patterns and sources of phosphorus deposition in an urban–rural transition region in Southwest China" by Yuan Yuan Chen et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-388-AC1>, 2022

---

### Response to reviewer's comment

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you very much for helping us to handle the manuscript entitled "**Fluxes, patterns and sources of phosphorus deposition in an urban-rural transition region in Southwest China**" [acp-2022-388]. I am writing a response to the reviewer's comments. The detailed revisions are highlighted in yellow in the manuscript, and the response to comments are listed as follow:

#### Q1: Source/sink (L311-315; L346-357; L388-389)

A negative correlation between P deposition and country road/forest does not always indicate "sink" land use for P deposition. Because P deposition can be derived from soil particles containing P, a negative correlation indicates lower levels of P sources for P deposition in road and forest than in other land use such as agricultural areas. Although land-use of agriculture is intensive "source" for P deposition, the use of "sink" is carefully modified.

A: Thanks for your comments. Based on it, we make some corrections as follows:

- The sentence "*Above all, the land use of "sink" denotes a lower level of P sources and a higher level of P sinks than other land use.*" was added on page 19, L365-366.
- We modified the sentence "*Based on correlation analysis, it was found that "source" land use might be agro-facility, town, and paddy field areas, while "sink" land use might be forest and country road areas.*" to "*Based on correlation analysis, it was found that "source" land use might be agro-facility, town, and paddy field areas, while "sink" land use, which denotes a lower level of P sources and a higher level of P sinks than others, might be forest and country road areas.*" on page 20, L394-397.

#### Minor comments

#### Q2: L13 phosphorus (P) à P

A: We modified "phosphorus (P)" to "P" on page 2, L13.

**Q3: L19 76.13 à 76.1**

A: We modified "76.13" to "76.1" on page 2, L19.

**Q4: L45 I don't think that the term "in-situ" is needed in this manuscript. (also L85, L378)**

A: We deleted "in-situ" on page 3-L45, page 5-L87, and page 20-L378.

**Q5: L51 What is "so caused"?**

A: The phrase "so caused" was modified to "the resulting" on page 4, L52.

**Q6: L73 "different" P-containing aerosols: What "different"?**

A: Thanks for your comments. What we would like to express here is the P-containing aerosols in different ways. We modified "different P-containing aerosols" to "P-containing aerosols originated from different ways" on page 5, L74-75.

**Q7: L102 Please clarify how to calculate the total area.**

A: Thanks for your comments. The sentence "*The total area of one land use type was calculated by adding the values in each column, as shown in Table 1, where each column indicates the area occupied by each land use type in nine sites.*" was added on page 6, L106-108.

**Q8: L125 Please provide how many samples for wet deposition were collected.**

A: We added the sentence "*During the sampling period, a total of 923 wet deposition samples were collected, including 858 valid samples.*" on page 7, L133-135.

**Q9: L144 P types: What "types"?**

A: We modified "P types" to "TP" on page 8, L149. We only measured the concentration of TP both in collecting wet and dry samples.

**Q10: L162 Please add the replication protocol in section 2.2 sample collection and analysis.**

A: Thanks for your comments. We added the sentence "*In addition, three parallel collectors were used at each site to collect atmospheric deposition to ensure three replicate data.*" on page 7, L116-117.

**Q11: L173 no data in February 2015 in Figure 2.**

A: Yes. This study was conducted from March 2015~February 2017, including 24 months.

**Q12: L179 25.0% to 99.7 % would be preferable.**

A: We modified it to "25.0% to 99.7 %". Please see on page 10, L184.

**Q13: L179 delete "generally"**

A: We deleted "generally" in L184.

**Q14: L184 Please define which months are categorized as summer.**

A: We have defined summer including June, July, and August in this study. Please see on page 10, L188-189.

**Q15: L191 I could not understand “three types of depositions”.**

A: What we would like to express here is “atmospheric wet, dry, and total P deposition”.

**Q16: L194 R=574: probably R=0.574**

A: We modified “R=574” to “R=0.574” on page 11, L199.

**Q17: L218 I could not understand “during almost the whole year”.**

A: As shown in Fig.6, Pearson correlations between monthly dry (b) and total (c) fluxes and areas of the town and paddy field were positive during almost the whole year, except in March and April.

**Q18: L232 1.42-3.11 times higher: suggest “xx degree higher”. Because temperature is also expressed as kelvin in addition to the degree.**

A: The expression “7.44-17.19 degrees higher” replaced “1.42-3.11 times higher” on page 13, L237.

**Q19: L233-235 The effect of temperature is not adequately discussed and supported. Huang et al (2011) referred to in this study deal with sediment, not the atmosphere.**

A: We are sorry for our mistake. Through searching the references, we modified reference “(Huang et al., 2011)” to “(Tipping et al., 2014)” on page 13, L241.

**Q20: L237-249 Is there any possibility that P fertilizer was intensively emitted into the air in autumn, which enhances dry P deposition in autumn?**

A: We agree that P fertilizer was intensively emitted into the air in autumn. We discussed the driving effect of P fertilizer on dry deposition in section 4.4. Please check it on page 18, L349-355.

**Q21: L252 What deposition?**

A: “dry deposition” replaced “deposition” on page 14, L257.

**Q22: L263 What is “construction”?**

A: What we would like to express here is the contribution of wet and dry deposition to the total deposition.

**Q23: L298 Ling et al. (2022) is not listed in the reference list**

A: We are very sorry for our negligence of reference short citation. “Song et al. (2022)” replaced “Ling et al. (2022)” on page 16, L304.

**Q24: L298 I could not understand “this discrepancy”. What differs, although P deposition is higher in agro-facility than in rural, urban, and forested areas, which seems similar to your study?**

A: Thanks very much for your comments. “This discrepancy” means this study’s results of

P deposition fluxes were higher than a large number of fluxes on a global scale (mentioned on page 15, L287-289). To accurately express "this discrepancy", we modified the sentence *"This discrepancy could be explained by the collection methods used for P deposition."* to *"Furthermore, the collecting methods utilized for P deposition can also be used to explain the causes for the discrepancies between the experimental results of various studies."* on page 16, L305-306.

**Q25: L323 I could not understand "light".**

A: The word "lighting" replaced "light" on page 17, L332.

**Q26: L335 The correlation seems higher in August.**

A: The month "August" was added on page 18, L345.

**Q27: L358-375 I think the section of 4.5 Management practice of regional P showing surface water quality is not needed. While adequate data regarding P deposition is shown, the data on surface water quality is marginal.**

A: Thanks for your comment. We deleted it on page 19, L359-368, and the sentence *"Since the P deposition in the study area is higher than in many regions, it should be monitored and controlled reasonably."* was added on page 19, L374-375.