

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., editor comment EC1 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-999-EC1, 2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on acp-2021-999

Timothy Garrett (Editor)

Editor comment on "Addressing the difficulties in quantifying droplet number response to aerosol from satellite observations" by Hailing Jia et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-999-EC1, 2022

Dear Dr. Jia,

Per ACP guidelines, please upload your response to reviewer file according to this outline

Before submitting a revised version of their manuscript for publication in ACP, the authors should have answered the referee comments and relevant short comments cumulatively or individually in one or more author comments. The author comments should be structured in a clear and easy-to-follow sequence: (1) comments from referees/public, (2) author's response, and (3) author's changes in manuscript. Regarding author's changes, a marked-up manuscript version (track changes in Word, latexdiff in LaTeX) converted into a \*.pdf must be submitted, uploaded as a supplement to the author comment.

The changes in the manuscript are currently identified but not explicitly specified. Thank you for addressing this as it tends to make the review process go more smoothly.

Best regards,

Tim Garrett