This manuscript presents measurement results of particulate sugar compounds from a rural region in Southwest China. Individual sugar species concentrations, correlations among each other, as well as diagnostic ratios were utilized together with meteorological parameters, back trajectories, and fire counts to constrain the main emission sources, including biomass burning, microorganisms and plant emissions. Biomass burning emissions were the dominant contributor to the ambient PM2.5, derived from both local burning activities and long-range transport from surrounding countries.

The results presented in this paper are interesting as they give insight into the sources of ambient aerosols in this part of China for which limited data have been reported. The results are based on a sound measurement approach, and include a large number of chemical PM components, while the measurement period is relatively short and doesn't show seasonal patterns. Overall, the manuscript is fairly well written and structured, and should therefore be published in ACP following minor revision based on the comments given below.

Specific comments:

1. It is good to see the utilization of the Metrohm sugar columns (requiring substantially lower eluent concentrations), instead of the usual CarboPak columns from Dionex used in most other studies. Did the authors encounter any co-elution problems of certain sugar species with this system?

2. Lines 276-278: Do the authors know what are the traditional burning practices during the Qingming Festival, i.e., what types of biomass the local residents may be burning that are special for that holiday or is it just enhanced cooking activity, perhaps with more outdoor BBQ cooking?

3. Lines 416-418: While erythritol may have been used as surrogate for the 2-methyltetrols, I believe it was mainly for quantification of the 2-methyltetrol peaks when no authentic standards were available, rather than representing the ambient 2-methyltetrol levels. Since the 2-methyltetrols can be separated by HPAEC-PAD, did the
authors see any unidentified peaks in the sugar alcohol region of the chromatogram that could potentially be attributed to the 2-methyltetrols?

4. Lines 495-500: What are the typical crops that are planted in this region? And what kind of burning practices do the local farmers have, e.g., post-harvest burning of straw or other agricultural residues? Knowledge of these practices would be helpful for explaining the BB patterns and specifically the anhydrosugar diagnostic ratios.

Technical corrections:

1. Throughout the manuscript, grammar and wording needs to be polished.

2. Lines 144-145: Please, check the correct supplier of the DRI Model 2015 analyzer -- I don't think that it is "Atmoslytic" anymore but "Magee" or "Aerosol"