

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., referee comment RC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-766-RC2>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on acp-2021-766

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Measurement report: Determination of Black Carbon concentration in PM_{2.5} fraction by Multi-wavelength absorption black carbon instrument (MABI)" by Anna Ryś and Lucyna Samek, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-766-RC2>, 2021

REVIEW of Rys and Samek

Measurement report: Determination of Black Carbon concentration in PM_{2.5} fraction by Multi-wavelength absorption black carbon instrument (MABI), Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2021-766

<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-766>

The authors present results of a more than 1 year measurement campaign in Krakow, Poland, with a new instrument – the Multi-wavelength absorption black carbon instrument (MABI). While the manuscript is a "measurement report", it still lacks vital information to warrant its publication in ACP. The topic is treated superficially. The manuscript does not meet the standard of publication, and I recommend its rejection.

There have been numerous publications on the state-of-the-art absorption filter photometers, ranging from the characterization of new instrumentation (Bond et al., 1999; Drinovec et al., 2015; Ogren et al., 2017), the methodologies to post-process the data (Park et al., 2010; Virkkula et al., 2007; Weingartner et al., 2003), to the measurements, quantifying the limitations of filter photometers (Bernardoni et al., 2021; Yus-Diez et al., 2021). The interpretation of the data from the presented measurement campaign should address the issues described in the literature. I specify the most blatant omissions below.

The sampling of the filters was performed for 24 hours. With the concentrations reported, there are significant loading effects present (see for example, Bond et al., 1999; Drinovec et al., 2015; Park et al., 2010; Weingartner et al., 2003). These need to be addressed. The loading effects are wavelength dependent and the reported Angstrom exponent $\alpha=0.6$ (the authors should avoid an excessive number of digits beyond any reasonable measurement uncertainty) will increase once this is addressed. The assumption of $C=R=1$ is probably wrong, but we do not know how this correction is parametrized as there are no links to the MABI manual in the manuscript, and this shall remain an open question.

It is unclear if the epsilon is the absorption coefficient (units m^{-1}) or the mass absorption cross-section (units m^2g^{-1}). If this is MAC, then the explanation on the measurement of mass is lacking in the manuscript.

The wavelength difference method is a very simplistic and non-quantitative way to perform source apportionment and much more sophisticated methods are available in the literature (starting with Sandradewi et al., 2008). The 11.3% BC apportioned to biomass burning should be reported to the nearest 1% and its uncertainty should be determined.

The source apportionment methods using filter photometers use the PM optical properties to determine the contribution of sources to BC. The presented results do not take into account that coal combustion could also result in PM absorbing strongly at low wavelengths. More sophisticated studies in Krakow (Tobler et al., 2021) have opted to use "solid fuel" rather than specifying the fuel type due to this possibility.

References

Bernardoni, V., Ferrero, L., Bolzacchini, E., Forello, A. C., Gregori, A., Massabò, D., Močnik, G., Prati, P., Rigler, M., Santagostini, L., Soldan, F., Valentini, S., Valli, G., and Vecchi, R.: Determination of Aethalometer multiple-scattering enhancement parameters and impact on source apportionment during the winter 2017/18 EMEP/ACTRIS/COLOSSAL campaign in Milan, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 2919–2940, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-2919-2021>, 2021.

Bond, T. C., Anderson, T. L., and Campbell, D.: Calibration and intercomparison of filter-based measurements of visible light absorption by aerosols, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 30, 582–600, 1999.

Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Ruckstuhl, C., Coz, E., Rupakheti, M., Sciare, J., Müller, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Hansen, A. D. A.: The "dual-spot" Aethalometer: an improved measurement of aerosol black carbon with real-time loading compensation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1965–1979, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1965-2015>, 2015.

Ogren, J. A., Wendell, J., Andrews, E., and Sheridan, P. J.: Continuous light absorption photometer for long-term studies, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 4805–4818, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4805-2017>, 2017.

Park, S. S., Hansen, A. D. A., and Cho, Y.: Measurement of real time black carbon for investigating spot loading effects of Aethalometer data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 11, 1449–1455, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.025, 2010.

Sandradewi, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Alfarra, M. R., Lanz, V. A., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 3316–3323, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es702253m>, 2008.

Tobler, A. K., Skiba, A., Canonaco, F., Močnik, G., Rai, P., Chen, G., Bartyzel, J., Zimnoch, M., Styszko, K., Nćcki, J., Furger, M., Ró¼ański, K., Baltensperger, U., Slowik, J. G., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Characterization of non-refractory (NR) PM₁ and source apportionment of organic aerosol in Kraków, Poland, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 14893–14906, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-14893-2021>, 2021.

Virkkula, A., Mäkelä, T., Hillamo, R., Yli-Tuomi, T., Hirsikko, A., Hämeri, K., and Koponen, I. K.: A simple procedure for correcting loading effects of aethalometer data, *J. Air Waste Manage.*, 57, 1214–1222, doi:10.3155/1047-3289.57.10.1214, 2007.

Weingartner, E., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Streit, N., Bitnar, B., and Baltensperger, U.: Absorption of light by soot particles: determination of the absorption coefficient by means of aethalometers, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 34, 1445–1463, doi:10.1016/S0021-8502(03)00359-8, 2003.

Yus-Díez, J., Bernardoni, V., Močnik, G., Alastuey, A., Ciniglia, D., Ivančić, M., Querol, X., Perez, N., Reche, C., Rigler, M., Vecchi, R., Valentini, S., and Pandolfi, M.: Determination of the multiple-scattering correction factor and its cross-sensitivity to scattering and wavelength dependence for different AE33 Aethalometer filter tapes: a multi-instrumental approach, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 6335–6355,

<https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-6335-2021>, 2021.