

## Comment on acp-2021-766

Cheng Wu

---

Community comment on "Measurement report: Determination of Black Carbon concentration in PM<sub>2.5</sub> fraction by Multi-wavelength absorption black carbon instrument (MABI)" by Anna Ryś and Lucyna Samek, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-766-CC1>, 2021

---

This study (acp-2021-766) reported a one-year eBC measurement study in Poland using a multiwavelength absorption black carbon instrument (MABI). MABI is a newly developed offline filter-based absorption photometer that provides more wavelengths (7λ) than the existing OT-21 (2λ) and MWAA (3λ). The application of MABI could provide more information to study the spectral characteristics of light-absorbing aerosols. However, the following concerns need to be addressed.

- Line 105. The assumption of the multi-scattering correction factor  $C_{ref} = 1$  is arbitrary.  $C_{ref}$  is not only filter type specific (Presler-Jur et al., 2017), but also site specific (Coen et al., 2010). Teflon filter usually has a  $C_{ref}$  value smaller than the quartz filter (Pandey et al., 2019). The exact value of  $C_{ref}$  can only be determined through co-located field comparisons with a reference instrument (e.g. photo-acoustic spectrometry).
- The  $MAE_{eBC}$  used in this study ( $6.036 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$  @ 639 nm) lacks scientific evidence. Neither the MABI manual nor the recent MABI paper (Manohar et al., 2021) had shown how  $MAE_{eBC}$  was derived. The key question is that for the  $MAE_{eBC}$  used in MABI, which EC protocol is aligning with? For example, the  $MAE_{eBC}$  used in the aethalometer was aligning with EC determined by Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory evolved gas analysis (EGA) protocol (Gundel et al., 1984). The  $MAE_{eBC}$  used in MAAP was aligning with EC determined by VDI part 1 protocol (Petzold and Schonlinner, 2004). How  $MAE_{eBC}$  was derived was not clear in the current manuscript.
- The use of the delta-C approach for BB-derived eBC was questionable. A study in the UK has shown that the delta-C approach derived  $BC_{bb}$  is unreliable (Harrison et al., 2013). The Aethalometer AAE model has its limitations for resolving BC from BB, which is only valid when the variability of AAE is dominated by primary brown carbon. The study by Lack and Langridge (2013) had shown that a transparent coating on BC can also lead to an increase of AAE of BC up to 1.5. As a result, the Aethalometer model/delta-C method is only valid when the primary brown carbon contribution is much higher than the lensing effect. The  $BC_{bb}/eBC$  ratio found in this study was lowest in winter, which is unreasonable. Since BB emissions were active during winter,  $BC_{bb}/eBC$  ratio is expected to be higher than other seasons. The authors should provide more evidence (e.g. a good correlation between delta-C and levoglucosan or potassium) to prove that this method is suitable for the samples used in this study.

References

Coen, M. C., Weingartner, E., Apituley, A., Ceburnis, D., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Flentje, H., Henzing, J. S., Jennings, S. G., Moerman, M., Petzold, A., Schmid, O., and Baltensperger, U.: Minimizing light absorption measurement artifacts of the Aethalometer: evaluation of five correction algorithms, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 3, 457-474, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-457-2010>, 2010.

Gundel, L. A., Dod, R. L., Rosen, H., and Novakov, T.: The Relationship between Optical Attenuation and Black Carbon Concentration for Ambient and Source Particles, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 36, 197-202, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(84\)90266-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(84)90266-3), 1984.

Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S., Jones, A. M., Calvo, A., Alves, C., and Pio, C.: An evaluation of some issues regarding the use of aethalometers to measure woodsmoke concentrations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 80, 540-548, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.026>, 2013.

Lack, D. A. and Langridge, J. M.: On the attribution of black and brown carbon light absorption using the Ångström exponent, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10535-10543, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-10535-2013>, 2013.

Manohar, M., Atanacio, A., Button, D., and Cohen, D.: MABI - A multi-wavelength absorption black carbon instrument for the measurement of fine light absorbing carbon particles, *Atmospheric Pollut. Res.*, 12, 133-140, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2021.02.009>, 2021.

Pandey, A., Shetty, N. J., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Aerosol light absorption from optical measurements of PTFE membrane filter samples: sensitivity analysis of optical depth measures, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 1365-1373, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-1365-2019>, 2019.

Petzold, A. and Schonlinner, M.: Multi-angle absorption photometry - a new method for the measurement of aerosol light absorption and atmospheric black carbon, *J. Aerosol. Sci.*, 35, 421-441, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2003.09.005>, 2004.

Presler-Jur, P., Doraiswamy, P., Hammond, O., and Rice, J.: An Evaluation of Mass Absorption Cross-Section for Optical Carbon Analysis on Teflon Filter Media, *J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 67, 1213-1228 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2017.1310148>, 2017.