This paper by Randel et al. investigates the coupling between ozone and temperature variability in the tropical lower stratosphere. Related information is extracted from different observational datasets, including balloon and satellite data, and compared to results from an idealized model based mainly on zonal mean vertical advection and radiation. A particular focus is laid on the O3/T ratio which is found to change as a function of frequency and altitude. The idealized model results compare qualitatively well with the observation-based results showing that the common variability in tropical ozone and temperatures can indeed be interpreted as coupled response to variability in tropical upwelling, including ozone radiative feedback. Furthermore, the idealized model shows that for fast frequency variability the O3/T ratio is controlled by transport whereas for slow variations it is controlled by radiative processes.

I like the approach of comparing observational data to an idealized model to shed new light on the ozone-temperature coupling in the tropical lower stratosphere. The subject of the paper will be of interest to a wide readership from the atmospheric science and climate communities. Overall, the paper is very well and fluently written and the results are presented in a clear and concise way. There are no major concerns regarding publication, only a few minor and specific comments which came into my mind while reading and which perhaps could further improve the paper.

Minor comments:

1) Feedback effect of ozone on temperature

One main question I had when starting reading was how important the radiative feedback from ozone on temperatures would be in comparison to the response to upwelling. After reading I'm still unsure of what I do learn from this paper quantitatively in that respect. It is clear that the idealized model including the feedback term does a fairly good job. But, how important is the feedback term at all (i.e. the beta term in the thermodynamic equation)? Wouldn't it make sense to include results from the idealized model without the feedback term (beta=0) and quantify the feedback effect from the difference to the full model results?
2) Agreement between observations and idealized model

I'm unsure whether the agreement between the idealized model and observationally-based results is really well from a "quantitative" point of view, as stated a few times in the paper (e.g., L300, L308, L347). At other places it is said that the agreement is "approximate" (e.g., L19), a wording I would prefer given the clear differences e.g. in Figs. 8/9. I agree that the frequency and altitude dependence is qualitatively well reproduced by the simple model, but clear quantitative differences remain. In particular, the phases in Fig. 8b are even opposite over a wide spectrum range. I suggest to carefully check and adjust the wording in this regard before publication.

Specific comments:

L42: It could be mentioned here for completeness that horizontal transport also plays a role in the tropical ozone budget, but mainly at lower altitudes around the tropopause (e.g., Konopka et al., 2010; Abalos et al., 2013b), although this is mentioned already later.

L90ff: I would suggest to mention once at beginning of this section that all variables in the formulas below are zonal mean quantities, but that no overbar is used in the notation.

L118: Here, it would already be good to mention that meridional advection and eddy transport play also a role, but that it has been shown that this effect becomes very small above 80 hPa (e.g., Abalos et al., 2013b) and therefore can indeed be neglected to a good approximation here. At levels closer to the tropopause (up to about 18km), neglecting horizontal transport will cause some bias to the presented results. Similar statements are made later in L150ff - but I think it would be good to say that already here.

L124: How large can the effect of variability in the background ozone gradient be? In other words, how good is the approximation of constant background gradient?

L196ff and Fig. 2a: As the seasonal cycle is shown at 18km and horizontal transport can have an effect on the tropical ozone budget below 19km, as even said a sentence later, it is not clear to me to what degree the statement "correlated ozone-temperature behaviour is mainly a response to the annual cycle in upwelling" holds. Maybe it would be better to show the results for 19km in Fig. 2a, or being more careful with wording.

L243: Perhaps say that 24km is considered here as it is the level with strongest correlation (see Fig. 4) - but that the conclusions also hold for other levels (at least, that's what I guess...).

L272ff and Fig. 8: Why is only the QBO amplitude shown for SHADOZ? It would be great to include results also for other frequencies (e.g., seasonal cycle amplitude is also included in Fig. 9).

L280: Why does the change from one regime to the other (transport vs. radiation controlled) occurs around a period of about 200 days? Can this be understood from the idealized model?

L285: Any ideas why there is this discrepancy in phase between the model and observational results? Doesn't this mean that causality is flipped, hence a more substantial difference? (Which is related to my minor comment 2). Including some more discussion here would be helpful for interpretation.

L299 and Fig. 9a: How about including the ratio of background gradients in Fig. 9a (the profile from Fig. 1c) to ease comparison?
L332: After (Abalos et al., 2013) add "above the TTL".

Fig. 8: What is the x-axis scaling? Maybe mention explicitly in the caption that it is not linear.

Fig. 9: Perhaps better to have the same x-axis range for all three subfigures.
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