

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., referee comment RC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-133-RC2>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on acp-2021-133

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Identifying the sources of uncertainty in climate model simulations of solar radiation modification with the G6sulfur and G6solar Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) simulations" by Daniele Visoni et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-133-RC2>, 2021

General comments:

This paper reported one set of the experiments in the GeoMIP – G6solar and G6sulfur using 6 climate model output. Although models successfully reach temperature target (from the level of SSP5-8.5 to the level of SSP2-4.5), there are different climate responses between G6solar and G6sulfur, and large inter-model spreads in many climate aspects. The manuscript raises lots of questions on model uncertainties and following-up impact studies. In general, this study is strong and important as it is the first thorough report on G6solar and G6sulfur from 6 models, and it indicates many future research directions for future. But the manuscript needs some improvements in the writing style before publishing. The author tends to use long and obscure sentences when describing the figures and explaining the underline hypothesizes.

Specific comments:

Line 7-9: it is better to change to something like "We find that, over the two decades of the century, there are considerable inter-model spreads in the needed injection amounts of sulfate, in the latitudinal distribution of the aerosol cloud, and in the stratospheric temperature changes resulting from the extra aerosol layer."

Line 12-13: Are those values averaged differences between SSP5-8.5 and SSP2-4.5 over 2081-2100? If so, it is better to make it easy to read. Something like "SSP5-8.5 minus SSP2-4.5 averaged over 2081-2100"

Line 13: please change ": the differences in the simulated aerosol spread then change

some of the underlying uncertainty, for example in terms of" to ". With aerosol injection, the differences of aerosol spread further change some of the underlying uncertainties, such as"

Line 16: please change "a larger inter-model spread in the regional response in the surface temperatures" to "a larger uncertainty in the regional surface temperature response among models"

Line 44: please clarify "with no baseline simulation to analyse the response against (as in the case of G4)".

Line 54: "Both reductions" of what? The temperature reductions are checked every decade, and then the sulfate injection amount and solar radiation reduction are adjusted.

Line 55: please reorganize this sentence "There are multiple uncertainties...intercomparison"

Line 62: add ")" after "Visoni et al. (2017b)"

Line 64: add "SO₂" after "T_g"

Table 1: The first column – model names, are hard to read. Maybe add one extra space among models?

Line 99: CESM2 also injects SO₂ between 10N-10S? Or following the feedback algorithm and injecting SO₂ from other latitudes?

Line 100: how could CNRM-ESM2-1 use SO₂ distribution file from G4SSA for G6 experiment?

Line 102: change ";" to "and"

Figure 1: Please keep all sub title styles consistent.

Line n 116-120: please reorganize this sentence. It is too long.

Table 2: average of which period? In the title, it is said "the last decade of the experiment", and also said "2081-2100". Please use "SSP58.5 minus SSP24.5" instead of "SSP58.5-SSP24.5". And please use minus instead "-" in the whole manuscript.

Figure 2: R2 in d is zero? What is m?

Line 131-132: how are those numbers calculated? What does this sentence mean?

Line 144: please indicate which panels a and b are.

Line 145: why the comparison only helps the first 30 years?

Line 148: please clarify the sentence. As far as I understand, the first part of the sentence means that the small differences of global mean temperatures anomaly between SSP5-8.5 and SSP2-4.5 among models tend to magnify the inter-model differences of intervention applied. But what does "resulting in larger differences in the first years" mean?

Line 148, 150: please change "first decades" and "first years" to "first couple decades" and "first several years". Or indicate exact numbers.

Line 145-153: I still don't understand the different mechanisms behind the two periods (first three decades and the rest)

Line 161: "different models' behavior"

Line 164: "reasons for a different aerosol distribution with similar injection locations and height of SO2 are"

Figure 4: CNRM-ESM2-1 and MPI-ESM are both prescribed SO2 distribution files. How could MPI-ESM have SO2 injection amount, but CNRM-ESM2-1 not in b)? The box is hard to read. It is better to draw it a table. If all three panels use the same color code, then only one legend is needed.

Line 183: please clarify this sentence. "Model spread, ... observations)."

Line 209-210: are those values (e.g. CESM is 6.2 at 2058) in models accumulated SO₂ or SO₂ per year? Based on Fig. 4b, they are SO₂ Tg/yr? If so how this annual injection amount compare to one time injection from Pinatubo? Before the AOD reaching the level of that in Pinatubo, there have been decades of injection already.

Line 231: UKESM1-0-LL is showing much weaker stratospheric heating, but from Figure 5, it seems that CNRM is the least? Also IPSL shows similar stratospheric heating as in MPI.

Line 251: "Banerjee et al. (2020))"

Line 259-261: please reorganize this sentence "not only are Removed"

Line 261: "For G6sulfur, there is a general model agreement in the ..."

Line 264: "as evidenced by the absence of high-latitude warming with the same magnitude in the G6solar simulations"

Line 275: please reorganize the first sentence.

Line 277: why saying "that is balanced in the multi-model average by a stronger warming modeled by MPI-ESM"? all models are showing the warming over Northern Eurasia with different magnitudes. There is nothing to be balanced.

Line 298-299: Both versions of MIP show the warming in North America and West Antarctica, just one is much stronger than the other.

Line 299-300: How could the different responses in HR and LR indicate that the deep ocean circulation is causing regional differences in temperature? What is the difference between HR and LR?

Line 336 to 344: CESM seems showing the least difference between G6sulfur and G6solar. Actually they are almost the same amount of reduction.

Line 345: please reorganize this sentence to something like "Lastly, models agree on regional precipitation changes more in G6solar than in G6sulfur"

Figure 10: legends cover part of the plots.

Line 351-352: HR shows stronger reduction and increasing of precipitation in the tropics relative to LR, not just stronger reduction.

Line 354: Again, why are circulations different in HR and LR?

Line 358-363: please reorganize this sentence.

Figure 11: Hard to read. Some suggestions: 1. Order the main categories with altitude: Surface at the bottom, Stratosphere on the top, Radiative forcing and Aerosol chemistry/microphysics are through the whole troposphere and stratosphere. 2. "Stratospheric aerosols" and "Stratospheric Heating" should be in the same category. Stratospheric aerosol is what we injected in the model, and all others (including stratospheric heating, dynamics and chemistry...) are responses to the extra aerosols.

