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The manuscript presents a comprehensive comparison of various vegetation relevant variables (e.g. soil moisture, GPP, surface air temperature) modeled with and without soil moisture assimilation. The main feat is the implementation of a data assimilation of soil moisture in two widely used land surface models NOAH-MP and CLM within WRF-chem. The manuscript continues to explore the effect of assimilated soil moisture on the ozone dry deposition. The authors compare the dynamic dry deposition schemes of the NOAH-MP and CLM (Bell-Berry type stomatal resistance) with the Wesley scheme of NOAH-MP (Javis-type stomatal resistance) and NOAH (Javis-type stomatal resistance). Ultimately, they extrapolate their resulting ozone surface concentrations from 2 weeks (Aug 16--28) to vegetation ozone damage risk indices MDA8 and AOT40. All studies are validated against observational data.

For the full review see supplement.
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