

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., referee comment RC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1185-RC2>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Comment on acp-2020-1185

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Impact of international shipping emissions on ozone and PM_{2.5} in East Asia during summer: the important role of HONO and ClNO₂" by Jianing Dai and Tao Wang, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1185-RC2>, 2021

The effects of ship emissions on the formation of O₃ and PM_{2.5} have a significant impact on the climate, air quality, and human health. However, limited attention has been paid to the production of ship-related radicals in evaluating the effects of ship emissions on secondary pollutants. This study used a revised regional chemical transport model (CBMZ was updated to CBMZ-ReNOM) to simulate the spatial distributions of HONO and ClNO₂ produced by ocean-going ships and their effects on the formation of O₃ and PM_{2.5}. Overall, this is a fundamental work with clear importance. It fulfils the necessary requirements to be published. I recommend it for publication after the authors consider several minor revisions to the manuscript.

The model simulations were performed from June 28 to July 31, 2018. It's the summer time for east Asia. Can you expect what's the change of main conclusions if you expand the simulation to all seasons? If it's hard to expect the results for different seasons, the title should be specified to summer.

The HONO emissions from land transportation sources were calculated using land-based NO_x emissions and the HONO/NO_x ratios (0.8% for gasoline and 2.3% for diesel). It should be noted that the estimation is quite rough. It would be useful to give a range of HONO and check the impacts.

The underpredicted O₃ on land is larger than on maritime regions. Are there any correlations between the two? If so, is the ReNOM scheme still important?

Fig. 2. Both of the concentrations of HONO and ClNO₂ are very low on the ocean. How can you determine the contribution from ships is accurate, not noise from the model?

Fig.6d and 8d show a hot spot in inland area of south China. As the inland river ship emissions were not included in this study, how to explain the reason for the most significant changes happened in inland, which is isolated from shipping emissions? In another words, if other reasons would drive to such high increment, how to confirm the other increments are from ships not noise?

Current titles for Fig. 6 and 8 are not appropriate.

section 3.2 and title for section 3.3 are missing.