

## ***Interactive comment on “The C<sub>32</sub> alkane-1,15-diol as a proxy of late Quaternary riverine input in coastal margins” by Julie Lattaud et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 1 May 2017

Using well-studied cores and surface sediments retrieved from the Mozambique Channel and the eastern Mediterranean, the authors tested the abundance of C<sub>32</sub> alkane-1,15,-diol as a proxy of riverine organic matter by comparing with relatively established proxies indicative of terrestrial contribution. The authors assume that the fractional abundance of C<sub>32</sub> alkane-1,15,-diol in total C<sub>28</sub>, C<sub>30</sub>, and C<sub>32</sub> diols represents the contribution of the organic matter produced in river and lake freshwater, in contrast to other terrestrial proxies based on land plant organic matter and soils. This is a quite unique proxy and must be tested in the application to paleoenvironmental studies.

This study benefits from previous studies conducted by the authors' and other groups. The results of the previous studies are not described well in this paper, probably to avoid duplications. I, however, think that the following information is helpful for readers to understand this paper. 1) Brief history of C<sub>28</sub>, C<sub>30</sub>, and C<sub>32</sub> diols and their

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



potential source. Expand the paragraph 64-77. 2) Age controls in both Mozambique and Mediterranean cores. Indicate the control points in Figs 2 and 4 and the short description of age-depth model in supplement.

I have the following comments: 1) The results of F1,15-C32 in two different cores are discussed independently, but more synthetic discussion is necessary on its advantages and disadvantages, the reason of the discrepancy between F1,15-C32 and BIT, the reason why the discrepancy in an eastern Mediterranean core was larger than that in a Mozambique Chanel core, why F1,15-C32 works better than BIT (is crenarchaeol production more affected by sea-level controlled marine production? etc). 2) Clearer discussion is necessary on the source of C32 alkane-1,15,-diol as a proxy of riverine organic matter. What does synchronous or asynchronous variation of F1,15-C32 (freshwater OM) and BIT (soil OM) means in more general sense? 3) Lines 366-371. This part is much more speculative than other parts. If this is true, low brGDGT concentration is somehow reflected in brGDGT concentration in surface sediments at the offshore of northern rivers. 4) If possible, I want to see more general discussion on the paleoclimate (precipitation in eastern Africa and ITCZ migration, etc) during H1 and YD periods.

---

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., doi:10.5194/cp-2017-43, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

