

Interactive comment on "A new high-resolution pollen sequence at Lake Van, Turkey: Insights into penultimate interglacial-glacial climate change on vegetation history" by Nadine Pickarski and Thomas Litt

D. Magri

donatella.magri@uniroma1.it

Received and published: 19 January 2017

General comment:

This is a very interesting work, clearly presented and well discussed. It provides new detailed information on the vegetation development and climate changes in the eastern Mediterranean region in the time interval 250-130 ka.

My main concern relates to the succession of vegetation types claimed for the warm stages in the paragraphs "forested periods" and "conclusions". At lines 212-214 it is stated that "the vegetation succession starts with the colonization of open habitats by

C.

pioneer trees, such as Betula, followed by sclerophyllous Pistacia cf. atlantica and a gradual expansion of deciduous Quercus". "The ensuing ecological succession at Lake Van is documented by high percentages of dry-tolerant and/or cold-adapted coniferous species (lines 235-236). This succession is not clearly visible in any of the forest phases: deciduous Quercus is always starting before or at the same time of Betula. Pistacia is almost missing in the forest phase corresponding to MIS 7 c. Pinus develops after Quercus in MIS 7e, but it is coeval in MIS 7c and is very sparse in MIS 7a. I suggest to avoid this generalization, which may be of interest in central Europe, far away from glacial refugia, but is not appropriate for the Lake Van region. Similarly, I do not find in the diagrams the most depleted (negative) delta18Obulk values at the base of each early temperate stage (lines 218-219): this is true at the onset of MIS 7e, but the next time interval with low values of delta18O is found during the cold stage of MIS 7d, and the following low values are recorded during the warm stage of MIS 7a. Thus, the suggested generalization is not convincing.

Minor corrections:

Line 55: Roucoux et al., 2008, 2011

Line 56: Tzedakis et al., 2003b, 2006

Line 79: there is no correspondence of rainfall values with table I. Besides, Van is not in the north-east (Ercis is in the NE)

Line 82: the vegetation cover around Lake Van

Line 87: dominated by dwarf-shrub steppes

Line 122: HCI

Line 131: diagram of selected taxa. You may consider to add here that the complete

list of data will be available on PANGEA

Line 139: on bulk sediment samples

Line 163: (max. \sim 70 %)

Lines 212-213 and 235-237: see general comments

Line 236: that suggest a cooling

Line 240: in other words.....this sentence seems incomplete

Line 250: from a variety of factors

Line 274: species Line 280: tolerates

Line 347: Between 193 and 157 ka BP

Line 350: an age of \sim 189 ka BP is probably too precise with respect to the chronolog-

ical setting of the record. Better say $\sim\!189~\text{ka}$ BP

Line 371: shares Line 373: refers

Line 408: colder but wetter climate conditions during MIS 6e than during MIS 3

Line 422: a unique record

Lines 428-430: see general comments

Line 439: wetter conditions than during MIS 3

Lines 441-444: In the last sentence of the conclusions it would be better to emphasize

what is new in your record instead of remarking what was already known

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., doi:10.5194/cp-2016-133, 2016.