Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-89-RC1, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "The novel HALO mini-DOAS instrument: Inferring trace gas concentrations from air-borne UV/visible limb spectroscopy under all skies using the scaling method" by Tilman Hüneke et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 20 June 2017

The manuscript describes the mini-DOAS instrument that has already been operated in a number of science campaigns onboard the German High Altitude and Long-range research aircraft (HALO) together with the so-called "scalling method" to infer trace gas mixing ratios from the mini-DOAS limb measurements. The paper is generally well written and provides an important reference for the mini-DOAS instrument and in particular its data analysis. I recommend publication in AMT after consideration of the following – mostly minor – comments.

Specific comments

C1

As the instrument has already been flown on a number of science campaigns and this paper gives only a few selected examples, I suggest to include a table listing deloyments of the mini-DOAS instrument on HALO campaigns so far.

p1.l3: please spell out HALO as "German High Altitude and Long-range research aircraft (HALO)" when used for the first time and move the URL reference from the abstract to the introduction section.

p2.l1: sentence ends early

p2.l6: better either give an earlier reference for DOAS as well, or explicitely indicate that Platt and Stutz (2008) is a recent review of DOAS and not the original reference

p2.l22: "German GV" -> "German High Altitude and Long Range Research Aircraft (HALO), that is based on a Gulfstream G550 jet"

p2.l35: what exactly is meant by "celestial" here?

p3.l29: "to" -> "to be"

p4.l11: what exactly does "latter" here refer to? Only CCMs or CTMs and CCMs?

p5.l18: insert "elevation angles"

p5.l20: why "skylight" and not simply "light"? I know its picky, but in general there may be other light sources than just skylight.

p6.l9: Can you explain briefly why surface temperatures are important here and not the much cooler upper tropospheric / lower stratospheric temperatures at cruise altitude?

p7.I10: any reference to BAHAMAS?

p9.l1: "Polstracc" -> "POLSTRACC"

P9.I14: "Acridicon" -> "ACRIDICON"

p10.l27: What is "EA"? Elevation angle?

p11.l6: "Fairo" -> "FAIRO"

p11.l7: "for measuring" -> "for in-situ measuring"

p11.l16: not sure if "all 13 flights" is still relevant here, as many more flights on more recent campaign have been performed with FAIRO

p12.l17: horizontal resolution is not well defined for a Lagrangian model. Please give more detail what this refers to.

p12/13: EMAC: Maybe include a few sentences about EMAC's chemistry scheme - in contrast or comparison to what has been mentioned for CLaMS.

p14.l12: Suggestion: Say again explicitely that SCD_X and SCD_P are measured by the mini-DOAS, the alpha are from a model and [P] is measured in-situ. (If that is what you are doing.)

p20.l11: better be a bit more specific of where no elevated BrO concentrations are observed. I assume this statement does not refer to the Antarctic boundary layer?

Caption Fig. 2: Suggestion: Include in the caption date, location and campaign of sample measurement

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-89, 2017.